

REMARKS

Claims 1 and 6 are now pending in the application. Claims 2-5 and 7-16 have been cancelled, without prejudice. The Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw the rejections in view of the amendments and remarks contained herein.

REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 102 AND 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 1 and 6 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Applicants have amended Claim 1 to incorporate featured from each of Claims 2-5. Applicants respectfully assert that none of the cited references, either singly or in combination, disclose or suggest a display element including all of these features combined together as recited in independent Claim 1. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully assert that independent Claim 1 is patentable. Further, since Claim 6 depends from independent Claim 1, Applicants respectfully assert that it is likewise patentable for at least the same reasons as Claim 1.


CONCLUSION

It is believed that all of the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed, accommodated, or rendered moot. Applicant therefore respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw all presently outstanding rejections. It is believed that a full and complete response has been made to the outstanding Office

Action and the present application is in condition for allowance. Thus, prompt and favorable consideration of this amendment is respectfully requested. If the Examiner believes that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at (248) 641-1600.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: September 5, 2006 By:


Michael E. Hilton
Reg. No. 33,509

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.
P.O. Box 828
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303
(248) 641-1600

MEH/jlk